Contribution ID: 7a4ed5af-f27c-4c7c-9e14-1292e7063d84

Date: 12/03/2021 12:19:54

# Targeted consultation on the establishment of a European single access point (ESAP) for financial and non-financial information publicly disclosed by companies

#### First action of the capital markets union action plan

Fields mark	ked with *	are mandatory	
-------------	------------	---------------	--

#### Introduction

#### **Background of this targeted consultation**

The purpose of this targeted questionnaire is to seek general and technical views on the way to establish a European single access point (ESAP) for companies' financial and sustainable investment-related information made public pursuant to EU legislation. The establishment of the ESAP is the first action in the Commission's new action plan on the capital markets union (CMU). The EU legislation in the financial services area (in relation to inter alia capital markets, credit rating, investment, lending, insurance, asset management, funds (including UCITs), sustainable finance) requires companies to disclose a wide range of documents, particulars and datasets in order to increase the transparency and reduce asymmetry of information between company insiders and external investors.

The collection and dissemination of data is however fragmented. The EU law rarely prescribes specific dissemination channels. A few datasets such as an issuer's annual financial report must be published via a register. Registers are most of the time scattered along the national and / or sectoral dimensions. At the EU level, the <u>European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA)</u> maintains a number of public registers.

Stakeholders encounter significant difficulties in accessing, comparing and using the companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation. Based on responses received from stakeholders on previous consultation activities, it appears that:

- 1. Stakeholders find it difficult to access specific companies' information because the information itself is scattered geographically (generally by Member State), functionally and thematically. Information is also often searchable or available in local languages only, and not always freely accessible or bulk downloadable
- 2. Investors and users find publicly disclosed financial and non-financial information difficult to compare and analyse. This is mainly due to the lack of common standards for such disclosure, use of different identifiers for a

same entity, lack of interoperable formats and lack of harmonised implementation of reporting obligations at national level. The introduction of the ESEF format for financial reports by listed companies in 2021 or 2022 will to some extent remedy the situation but applies to only a small fraction of the regulated information disclosed by companies

 Stakeholders find the electronic usability of the data suboptimal. Data is hardly ever disclosed in a machine readable structured format. Notwithstanding some progress in the field of natural language processing, this undermines algorithmic processing of such data

The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental in many ways. Firstly, it is particularly detrimental to SMEs and to companies incorporated in Member States with less-developed capital markets. These companies lack cross-border visibility and struggle to find investors, thus reducing the liquidity of their securities. Secondly, it stifles market integration and innovation in the EU (such as pan-EU added value services and Fintech), and constitutes a competitive disadvantage for the EU capital markets in terms of attractiveness, compared to capital markets in other jurisdictions, such as the US. Lastly, the lack of integrated data management and access act as an important impediment to a fully-fledged capital markets union (CMU).

An EU-wide mechanism offering easily accessible, comparable and digitally usable information such as the ESAP can remedy the situation. The EU can add value by establishing an EU platform offering an EU single access point as well as an EU harmonised approach for the IT format for companies' information published pursuant to EU law.

#### Context and link with other initiatives

The Commission aims to foster policies that are fit for the digital age. Industrial and commercial data are key drivers of the digital economy. In its European Data Strategy of February 2020, the Commission declared its intention to make more data available for use in the economy and society. The strategy suggests the roll out of common European data spaces in crucial sectors such as the green deal and the financial sector. The Commission is preparing a legislative proposal to establish such spaces.

The <u>High Level Forum on the Capital Markets Union (HLF)</u>, set up by the European Commission in November 2019, recommended in its final report adopted on 10 June 2020 to set up the ESAP as an EU-wide platform in order to facilitate investors' access to company data, including that of SMEs. The HLF considered that standardised data reporting standards and formats should make data more easily accessible and comparable for investors. The need to improve accessibility, comparability and usability of information is also mentioned in the <u>digital finance strategy</u> (in order to facilitate real-time digital access to all regulated financial information, the strategy suggests that by 2024, information to be publically released under EU financial services legislation should be disclosed in standardised and machine-readable formats). Similarly, the forthcoming renewed sustainable finance strategy (planned for Q1 2021) is likely to deliver similar messages as regards public data in its remit.

The development of the ESAP will seek to encompass a wide scope of public information. The scope of the information covered by the platform will focus on the needs of users, in particular investors, while also taking into account the needs of a broader range of users such as civil society in particular as regards sustainability-related disclosures. It will also examine whether and how to embed information beyond the financial services area, such as entities with no access to capital markets and SMEs in order to expand their funding opportunities.

It will entail streamlining disclosure mechanisms set-out in EU legislation. The platform should build to the greatest extent possible on existing EU and national IT infrastructure (databases, registers, in order to avoid adding to companies reporting burden). The Commission invites input from stakeholders to define the precise information coverage, governance and features of the ESAP.

The development of ESAP will build on existing EU initiatives, such as the findings of the <u>European financial transparency gateway (EFTG) pilot project</u>, and will complement existing initiatives such as the <u>business registers interconnection system (BRIS)</u>.

The Commission has recently undertaken a range of public and other consultations – <u>Capital Markets Union High Level Forum final report</u>, a new digital finance strategy for Europe/FinTech action plan, non-financial reporting by large companies, <u>fitness check on the EU framework for public reporting by companies</u>, <u>European strategy for data</u>, <u>renewed sustainable finance strategy</u> –, relevant for the development of the ESAP. The responses to these consultations indicate a strong and widespread support for an ESAP as regards public financial as well as non-financial information from both listed and non-listed companies, e.g. entities with no access to capital markets such as SMEs.

The development and deployment of the ESAP will have to take account of the many ongoing initiatives addressing supervisory or high value datasets at Commission level or in collaboration with the <u>European supervisory authorities</u>.

#### **Targeted consultation**

This targeted consultation on the ESAP initiative takes account of already undertaken consultations and aims at gathering further evidence and views on the best way to establish an ESAP, including the scope of data (and whether it could be broadened to non-mandatory information), cost-benefits, how to address SMEs, etc.

Note that you are not required to answer every questions and you may respond to only those questions that you deem the most relevant.

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact <u>fisma-esap-project@ec.europa.eu</u>.

More information on

- this consultation
- the consultation document
- the consultation strategy
- capital markets union
- the protection of personal data regime for this consultation

#### **About you**

*	Language	of	my	contribution
---	----------	----	----	--------------

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English

Finnish French German Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish  T am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution
German Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish
Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish
<ul> <li>Hungarian</li> <li>Irish</li> <li>Italian</li> <li>Latvian</li> <li>Lithuanian</li> <li>Maltese</li> <li>Polish</li> <li>Portuguese</li> <li>Romanian</li> <li>Slovak</li> <li>Slovenian</li> <li>Spanish</li> <li>Swedish</li> <li>*I am giving my contribution as</li> </ul>
Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as
Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as
Latvian  Lithuanian  Maltese  Polish  Portuguese  Romanian  Slovak  Slovenian  Spanish  Swedish  * I am giving my contribution as
Lithuanian  Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish  * I am giving my contribution as
Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish * I am giving my contribution as
Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish * I am giving my contribution as
Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish * I am giving my contribution as
Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish * I am giving my contribution as
Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish * I am giving my contribution as
Slovenian Spanish Swedish * I am giving my contribution as
Spanish Swedish * I am giving my contribution as
Swedish *I am giving my contribution as
*I am giving my contribution as
Business association
Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
* First name
Info

*Surname			
EUROPEANISSUERS			
*Email (this won't be p	oublished)		
info@europeanissuers.6	eu		
*Organisation name			
255 character(s) maximum			
Europeanlssuers			
*Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 em	nployees)		
Small (10 to 49)			
Medium (50 to 2	. ,		
Large (250 or m			
<b>_</b> a.yo ( <b>_</b> 00 0			
Transparency registe	r number		
255 character(s) maximum		an like a valvotani datala a a fa	v avagnications and king to
influence EU decision-makin		er. It's a voluntary database fo	r organisations seeking to
20935778703-23	-		
*Country of origin			
Please add your country of c	origin, or that of your organis	sation.	_
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre
			and Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Samoa			
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and

Príncipe

Angola	Equatorial Guinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
<ul><li>Antigua and Barbuda</li></ul>	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	<ul><li>Solomon</li><li>Islands</li></ul>
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	<ul><li>French</li><li>Southern and</li><li>Antarctic Lands</li></ul>	Moldova	<ul> <li>South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands</li> </ul>
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
<ul><li>Bonaire Saint</li><li>Eustatius and</li><li>Saba</li></ul>	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria

<ul><li>Botswana</li><li>Bouvet Island</li><li>Brazil</li><li>British Indian</li></ul>	<ul><li>Guatemala</li><li>Guernsey</li><li>Guinea</li><li>Guinea-Bissau</li></ul>	<ul><li>Netherlands</li><li>New Caledonia</li><li>New Zealand</li><li>Nicaragua</li></ul>	<ul><li>Taiwan</li><li>Tajikistan</li><li>Tanzania</li><li>Thailand</li></ul>
Ocean Territory  British Virgin Islands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	<ul><li>Heard Island and McDonald Islands</li></ul>	Niue	Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	<ul><li>Northern</li><li>Mariana Islands</li></ul>	Tonga
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and Caicos Islands
<ul><li>Central African</li><li>Republic</li></ul>	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New Guinea	United Arab Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	Paraguay	<ul><li>United</li><li>Kingdom</li></ul>
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling) Islands	Japan	Philippines	<ul><li>United States</li><li>Minor Outlying</li><li>Islands</li></ul>
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay

0	Comoros	Jordan	0	Poland	0	US Virgin
0	Congo	Kazakhstan	0	Portugal	0	Islands Uzbekistan
0	Congo Cook Islands		0	Portugal Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0		Kenya Kiribati	0		0	
0	Costa Rica		0	Qatar Réunion	0	Vatican City Venezuela
0	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	0		0	
0	Croatia	Kuwait	0	Romania	0	Vietnam
	Cuba	<ul><li>Kyrgyzstan</li></ul>		Russia		Wallis and
0	Curação	Laos	0	Rwanda	0	Futuna Western
	Curaçao	Laus		nwanua		Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia	0	Saint	0	Yemen
	Сургиз	Latvia		Barthélemy		remen
0	Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena	0	Zambia
	0200111a	200411011		Ascension and		Zamola
				Tristan da		
				Cunha		
	Democratic	Lesotho	0	Saint Kitts and		Zimbabwe
	Republic of the			Nevis		
	Congo					
0	Denmark	Liberia	0	Saint Lucia		
* Field	of activity or sector	or (if applicable):				
	Accounting					
	Auditing					
	Banking					
	Credit rating ager	ncies				
	Insurance					
	Pension provision	1				
	Investment manag	gement (e.g. hedge	fun	ds, private equity	fun	ds, venture
	capital funds, mor	ney market funds, s	ecur	rities)		
	Market infrastruct	ure operation (e.g. (	CCF	s, CSDs, Stock e	xch	nanges)
	Social entreprene	urship				
<b>V</b>	Other					
	Not applicable					

PIE	ease specify your activity field(s) or sector(s):
	Publicly Listed Companies

- \* Are you a financial market participant?
  - Yes
  - No
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

- \* In terms of information published by market participants, are you a user or a preparer?
  - User
  - Preparer
  - User and preparer
  - None of these

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

#### Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

### Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

### Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

### **General questions**

In this first section of the consultation, the Commission seeks to get stakeholders' views on some general questions regarding the features of the European single access point (ESAP). The Commission seeks views on which information stakeholders generally search for, where they search for it, in which format(s) and the barriers stakeholders might encounter. This will also help the Commission to prioritise which aspects should be considered immediately when developing ESAP, and which could be implemented at a later stage.

### Question 1. Please rate the following characteristics of ESAP based on how relevant they are according to you:

	<b>1</b> (fully disagree)	2 (somewhat disagree)	3 (neutral)	4 (somewhat agree)	5 (fully agree)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
The information quality (accuracy and completeness) is most important	0	0	•	0	0	0
The widest possible scope of the information is most important	•	0	0	0	0	0
The timeliness of the information is most important	0	0	0	•	0	0
The source of the information is a key element to know	0	©	©	©	•	0
The immutability of the information is a key element	0	©	©	©	•	0
ESAP should include information made public on a voluntary basis by non-listed companies of any size, including SMEs	•	•	•	0	0	•
ESAP should include information made public on a voluntary basis by financial market actors	•	0	0	0	0	•
Other aspects	0	0	0	0	0	•

### Question 1.1 Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

EuropeanIssuers believes that the key characteristics of ESAP as well as of any database are quality and integrity of the data made available. This is however already ensured because only regulated information will be integrated in ESAP. Obviously, the source of the information is also essential. As regards timeliness, it depends on the purpose of ESAP: dissemination or storage or both? If ESAP is meant to be another storage mechanism then timeliness is not key: end-users will come to ESAP ex post to search for, analyse and compare information already made public. This has been our understanding of the ESAP so far, so that issuers believed that ESAP could in principle be built by interlinking existing OAMs.

If ESAP is another means of dissemination, this could have significant impacts on its technical specifications but also on the relevance and justification of existing regulation on dissemination and should be clearly stated and discussed in depth.

All over Europe, companies use primary information providers to disseminate regulated information in an effective way. Using the ESAP as means of dissemination could lower the costs but policy makers should ensure that the ESAP will meet the effective dissemination standards and that Member States will not impose additional requitements.

As general comments, we would also like to raise the following points: 1) information to be stored on ESAP needs to be clearly defined 2) the ESAP should build on existing OAMs; OAMs should be required to feed in the ESAP to allow the ESAP, in particular, to collect historical data already available on OAMs; visibility and usability of existing OAMs could also be enhanced to facilitate access to information 3) establishment of the ESAP should not generate additional burden or costs for issuers; therefore a robust and comprehensive impact assessment should be carried out, assessing in particular real benefits for issuers; the ESAP should allow the widest range of formats possible and should not be an excuse for imposing a single electronic format.

### Question 2. Which channels do you use when searching for, retrieving or using companies' public information?

Please select as many answers as you like

1	Company's website
	Data aggregation service providers
	Stock Exchanges
	Public repositories or databases (OAMs, NCAs, ESAs)
<b>V</b>	Other

### Please specify what are the other channel(s) you use when searching for, retrieving or using companies' public information:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

	Also issuers use information provided by other issuers, e.g. for making peer group comparisons. For the purpose of issuers information published on the website of their peers in conjunction with existing OAMs has proven as sufficient in terms of data quality, accessibility and format (which is usually PDF).
	estion 3. Would you say that the cost for retrieving and using companies'
(	Immaterial
(	Average
(	High
(	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Эu	estion 3.1 Please provide more information on your answer to question 3:
	100 character(s) maximum
incl	uding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
	estion 4. In which electronic format is companies' public information
	ovided by these channels?  ase select as many answers as you like
[	XBRL
l	PDF
ا	XML
l	HTML
l	CSV, TXT
1	Excel
ı	Formats enabling natural language processing
1	Other
I	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify in which other electronic format is companies' public information provided by these channels:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Information is available in different formats. However, for the purpose of issuers' information requirements PDFs has proven as being sufficient. This is also what issuers hear from the vast majority of their investors.

Question 5. Do you encounter barriers or difficulties when accessing the information?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

### Question 6. Do you encounter barriers or difficulties when using the information?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

#### The scope of ESAP

Question 7. Should ESAP include information from the hereunder provided list of EU legislations in the financial area?

And if so, please specify whether the ESAP should embed this information immediately (as soon as the ESAP starts) or at a later stage (phasing in).

- 1) The Transparency Directive (2004/109/EC) (e.g. annual/half yearly financial reports, acquisition or disposal of major holdings)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 1):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Including information companies and investors must disclose under the TD is consistent with the requirement in force to make certain information available to storage mechanisms or officially appointed mechanisms (OAMs). However, we believe that investors and in particular retail investors looking for information published in the official language of a Member State by a company listed only in that same Member State would turn to the national OAM rather than to an EU database. In this regard we believe that the visibility and usability of OAMs could be improved in order to facilitate access to public information disclosed be companies.

- 2) The Accounting Directive (2013/34/EU) (e.g. financial statements, management report, audit report)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 2):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Under the TD, the financial statements, the management report and the audit report are already included in the annual financial report companies must publish and make available to the OAMs. As long as this is the information the EU COM has in mind and as long as no additional requirements will be imposed in terms of format or even material content we would agree.

### 3) The Audit Directive (2014/56/EU) and Audit Regulation (537/2014/EU) (e.g. auditor transparency reports)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 3):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We don't really see the point in requiring auditors' transparency reports to be filed with the ESAP. This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

### 4) The Non-Financial Reporting Directive (NFRD) (2014/95/EU) (e.g. non-financial statement)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 4):

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

As far as non-financial information is concerned, the EU has launched preparatory work for an EU non-financial reporting standard, to be endorsed by the revised NFRD. However, this process will take time and the ESAP should carefully adapt its architecture. Besides the fact that non-financial and sustainability reporting is undergoing a rapid development at present, which is exposing companies to tremendous challenges, the process should also take into account the exclusive narrative character of non-financial information. Against this background, we would oppose if ESAP introduction was used to demand machine readability from that kind of information. While companies are in favour of a harmonised non-financial reporting framework to stop the proliferation of various initiatives, they are also keen on avoiding an EU standard of ESG reporting which would not be compatible with international initiatives . ESAP should therefore avoid requiring ESG data which would not also satisfy this condition and thus any decision on including NFR should be taken after the discussions on material aspects of the reporting as such will have been brought to an end.

### 5) The Prospectus Regulation (2017/1129/EU) (e.g. Prospectus, Universal Registration Document, SME Growth Markets-information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

# Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 5):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Under the Prospectus Regulation issuers are not required to file their prospectuses with the national OAM. We consider therefore that prospectuses are outside the scope of the ESAP. The filing of some prospectuses could make sense at a later stage – for instance prospectuses "passported out" to other Member States for a cross-border offer of securities – but the benefits for issuers should be identified and assessed before moving forward. Additional requirements and costs on companies issuing securities should be avoided at a time when access to financial markets can be critical for issuers.

### 6) The Shareholders Rights Directive (2007/36/EC) and (2017/828/EU) (e.g. Remuneration Report)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral

<ul><li>Fully agree</li><li>Don't know / no opinion / not relevant</li></ul>
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 6):  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
7) The Market Abuse Regulation (596/2014/EU) and Market Abuse Directive
(2014/57/EU) (e.g. inside information)  Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at
a later stage:
Immediately
At a later stage
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 7):  5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Somewhat agree

Article 2.1(k) of the Transparency Directive defines regulated information as being all information which the issuer is required to disclose under this Directive, under Article 6 of MAD or under the laws, regulations or administrative provisions adopted by a Member State. Therefore we agree that inside information in the meaning of article 7 of MAR – which has repealed and replaced MAD – falls in the scope of the ESAP. On the contrary we consider that other disclosure requirements of MAR, such as Directors dealings, are not in the scope of the ESAP.

- 8) The Resolution and Recovery of Credit institutions and Investment firms Directive (BRRD) (2014/59/EU) (e.g. information on the group financial support agreement)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 8):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

- 9) The Covered Bonds Directive (2019/2162) (e.g. information on the cover pool)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 9):

E000	,	/ 1	,
6/1/1/1	aharaatai	$1/\alpha 1/m$	avimiim
	character	1.5/ ///	<b>ゴメハハハハハ</b>

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 10) The Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) (2013/36/EU) and Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) (575/2013/EU) (e.g. prudential information, stress test results)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 10):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

- 11) The Credit Ratings Regulation (1060/2009/EU) (e.g. transparency report)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

# Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 11):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 12) The Central Securities Depositories Regulation (909/2014/EU) (e.g. governance arrangements)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 12):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

- 13) The Key Information Documents for Packaged Retail and Insurance-based Investment Products (PRIIPs) Regulation (1286/2014/EU) (e.g. key information document)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 13):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 14) The Regulation on European Long-term Investment Funds (ELTIF) (2015 /760/EU) (e.g. fund-related information)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 14):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 15) The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) (648/2012/EU) (e.g. prices and fees of services provided, risk management model)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree

0

Ful	lν	ad	re	e
ıuı	ΙV	αч	1 C	v

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 15):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 16) The Financial Conglomerates Directive (FICOD) (2011/89/EU) (e.g. corporate structure of the conglomerate)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 16):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 17) The Directive of Prudential Supervision of Investment Firms (IFD) (2019/2034/EU) and the Regulation of Prudential Requirements of Investment Firms (IFR) (2019/2033/EU) (e.g. aggregated information on high-earners, remuneration arrangements)
  - Fully disagree

0

<ul><li>Somewhat agree</li><li>Fully agree</li><li>Don't know / no opinion / not relevant</li></ul>	
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 17):  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.	
18) The Directive on the Activities and Supervision of Institutions fo Occupational Retirement Provision (IORP) (2016/2341/EU) (e.g. remuneratio policy)  Pully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant	
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 18):  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.	

Somewhat disagree

Neutral

### 19) The Pan-European Personal Pension Products Regulation (PEPP) (2019 /1238/EU) (e.g. key information document)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 19):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

### 20) The Regulation on Wholesale Energy Market Integrity and Transparency (REMIT) (1348/2014/EU) (e.g. inside information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 20):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

### 21) The Securities Financing Transactions Regulation (SFTR) (2015/2365/EU) (e.g. aggregate positions)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 21):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

### 22) The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EC) (e.g. solvency and financial condition report)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 22):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
24) The Take-Over Bid Directive (2004/25/EC) (e.g. Information in the management report on companies' capital and shareholders, voting rights, governance)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at
a later stage:
Immediately
At a later stage
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where
appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 24):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
This would be included in URDs.

23) The Short Selling Regulation (236/2012/EU) (e.g. net short position)

- 25) The Directive of Markets in Financial Instruments (MIFID) (2014/65/EU) and Regulation of Markets in Financial Instruments (MIFIR) (600/2014/EU) (e. g. volume and price of certain transactions)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 25):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 26) The Regulation on European Venture Capital Funds (EuVECA) (345/2013 /EU) (e.g. fund-related information)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 26):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

### 27) The Regulation on European social entrepreneurship funds (EuSEF) (346 /2013/EU) (e.g. fund-related information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 27):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

#### 28) The Regulation on Money Market Funds (2017/1131/EU) (e.g. prospectus)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 28)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

- 29) The Directive on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) (2009/65/EC) (e.g. key investor information)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 29)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 30) The Directive on Alternative Investment Fund Managers (AIFM) (2011/61 /EU) (e.g. investment strategy and objectives of the fund)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 30)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

- 31) The Regulation on EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks and sustainability-related disclosures for benchmarks (EU 2019 /2089) (e.g. information on measurable carbon emission reduction)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 31)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation.

- 32) Information on sustainability risks and impacts disclosed pursuant to the Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 on sustainability-related disclosure and The Taxonomy Regulation (2020/852/EU) (e.g. sustainability risks integration policies)
  - Fully disagree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Neutral
  - Somewhat agree
  - Fully agree
  - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 32)

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. This seems to go beyond the objective of facilitating access for investors to public companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation. 33) The EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) Fully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7.33) 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. We consider that the priority should be to improve the visibility and usability of the EU ETS registry.

#### 34) Other

- Yes
- No

### The usability and accessibility

Investors and users find publicly disclosed financial and sustainability-related information difficult to compare and analyse. This is mainly due to the lack of structured data, of common frameworks and/or interoperable formats for such disclosures, the use of different identifiers for the same entity and the lack of harmonised implementation of reporting obligations at national level. This section of the questionnaire seeks stakeholders' views on format(s) in which the information in ESAP should be made available, in order to make it more usable digitally, and how stakeholders would prefer to have access to and retrieve this information from ESAP.

Question 8. In order to improve the digital use and searchability of the information, for which of the hereunder information would you support the use of structured data formats, such as ESEF (XHTML and iXBRL), XML, etc., allowing for machine readability?

Please select as many answers as you like

Listed companies' half yearly financial reports	
Financial statements	
Management report	
Payments to governments	
Audit report	
Total number of voting rights and capital	
Acquisition or disposal of issuer's own shares	
Home Member State	
Acquisition or disposal of major holdings	
Inside information	
Prospectuses	
Net short position details	
Fund-related information	
Key Information Document	
Public disclosure resulting from prudential requiremen	ts
Remuneration policies	
Corporate structure of the conglomerate	
□ Governance arrangements	
Covered bonds - related information	
Solvency and financial condition report	
Sustainability - related information	
Other	

### Please specify for what other information you would support the use of structured data formats allowing for machine readability:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Generally speaking, we would only support the use of a structured format for quantitative data if the benefits for companies can be clearly assessed and demonstrated. As we have seen with the introduction of the ESEF reporting this causes a lot of additional costs for issuers though the benefits are not clear neither for

investors and even less for issuers. Furthermore, the ESAP must not be used to introduce additional issuers duties with respect to structured reporting. If any, structured information thus should be limited to financial statements which are already now available in structured formats.

### Question 9. Which of the following machine-readable formats would you find suitable?

	<b>1</b> (not at all suitable)	2 (rather not suitable)	3 (neutral)	4 (somewhat suitable)	5 (highly suitable)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
ESEF (XHTML files + inline XBRL tagging requirements)	0	•	0	0	0	•
XML files	0	0	0	0	0	•
CSV files	0	0	0	0	0	•
Excel	0	0	0	0	0	•
Formats enabling natural language processing	0	0	0	0	0	•
Other	0	0	0	0	0	•

### Question 9.1 Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and evidence to support your answers:

5000 character(s) maximum

Please select as many answers as you like

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

EuropeanIssuers does not agree with the implicit assumption that ESEF only structured formats are helpful for investors and that ESAP would only work with structured format files. Issuers around Europe have made the experience that PDF is the most used format by investors be them private investors or institutional investors. Thus, we expect that ESAP information would also be most useful for investors if it is provided in PDF. Furthermore, PDF is easy to use for issuers. However, in contrast to ESEF other structured format are easier to prepare for issuers, such as financial statement

#### Question 10. How should the information be accessible in ESAP?

■ Through Application Programming Interfaces (APIs)
Bulk download
Web portals
Other
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 11. To what extent should the language barrier be tackled?

For the following features of the ESAP (web portal, metadata, taxonomy/labels, and content/data), which of the following language arrangements would you favour?

#### a) Portals / search tools:

- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

#### b) Metadata (where variable text):

- n original language
- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

#### c) Taxonomy / labels (if any):

- n original language in original language
- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance

in multiple or all EU languages
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
<ul> <li>d) Content / data:</li> <li>in original language</li> <li>in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance</li> <li>in multiple or all EU languages</li> <li>Don't know / no opinion / not relevant</li> </ul>
Infrastructure and data governance (collection of data +
validation of data)
The Commission seeks stakeholders' views on the preferred technical solution(s) to establish the architecture of ESAP, and how to ensure the quality and integrity of the information within ESAP. A body in charge of ESAP, which should be non-for-profit, would be responsible for coordinating IT systems, maintenance and budgetary aspects.
Question 12. Should specific categories of stakeholders be involved in the governance of ESAP?  Please select as many answers as you like
<ul> <li>EU authority (ESMA, European Commission etc.) or a consortium of EU authorities?</li> <li>National competent authorities</li> <li>Investors</li> <li>Reporting companies</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
Please specify which EU authority should be involved in the governance of ESAP:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please specify what other category(ies) of stakeholders should be involved in

the governance of ESAP:

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Potential other end-users include rating agencies (credit rating and non-financial), academics, financial analysts and auditors

## Question 13. Considering the point in time at which a company makes public some information that is legally required, what would be the ideal timing for the information to be available on the ESAP?

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The purpose of ESAP should be to store information already available in national OAMs. Companies should not be liable for timing for the information to be available on the ESAP: the requirement applicable to listed companies under the TD and MAR is vis-a-vis the national OAM. Companies can therefore only be liable if they fail to meet the requirement to file information with the national OAM and not with the ESAP.

### Question 14. Should the integrity of the information and the credibility of the source of data used be ensured, when it is made accessible in ESAP?

- By electronic seals or electronic signature embedded at data emitter level
- By the ESAP platform
- By other means / trust services
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

### Please explain what you mean by 'by other means / trust services' in your answer to question 14:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The requirement for listed companies is to make regulated information available to the national OAM. Companies cannot be held liable for any problem in the transmission of information between the national OAM and the ESAP. In this regard, we consider that integrity of the information and credibility of the source of data filed with the ESAP should be ensured by the OAMs.

#### Question 15. Should the information in ESAP be subject to quality checks?



Yes	
No	
Other	
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant	
Question 16. Should a quality check be needed, what wo	ould need to be
checked?	
Please select as many answers as you like	
Compliance with IT formats	
Certain key tests (matching figures, units,)	
Use of a correct taxonomy	
Completeness	
Availability of metadata	
Other	
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant	
Targeted questions regarding entities with no ac	ccess to
Targeted questions regarding entities with no accapital markets (non-listed entities), including S	
	access to capital markets sizes – and in particular -19, but will be even more the consultation sets out
Capital markets (non-listed entities), including S  The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of	access to capital markets sizes – and in particular –19, but will be even more the consultation sets out d.  In accordance with state-of-ssure to provide financial ased funding and for their
Capital markets (non-listed entities), including S  The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID-important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of questions on how ESAP specifically can help ensure that SMEs receive the funding they need SMEs, often do not have the technical expertise nor resources necessary to prepare reports in the-art, sophisticated standards. At the same time, many SMEs are under increasing presinformation as well as certain sustainability related information in order to access market-based usual conduct of business. In this respect, entities which cannot provide this information mimpact on their commercial and/or investment opportunities.	access to capital markets sizes – and in particular -19, but will be even more the consultation sets out d.  In accordance with state-of-ssure to provide financial ased funding and for their ray experience a negative
The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of questions on how ESAP specifically can help ensure that SMEs receive the funding they need SMEs, often do not have the technical expertise nor resources necessary to prepare reports in the-art, sophisticated standards. At the same time, many SMEs are under increasing presinformation as well as certain sustainability related information in order to access market-based usual conduct of business. In this respect, entities which cannot provide this information metals.	access to capital markets sizes – and in particular -19, but will be even more the consultation sets out d.  In accordance with state-of-ssure to provide financial ased funding and for their ray experience a negative
Capital markets (non-listed entities), including S  The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of questions on how ESAP specifically can help ensure that SMEs receive the funding they need SMEs, often do not have the technical expertise nor resources necessary to prepare reports in the-art, sophisticated standards. At the same time, many SMEs are under increasing presinformation as well as certain sustainability related information in order to access market-based usual conduct of business. In this respect, entities which cannot provide this information mimpact on their commercial and/or investment opportunities.  Question 17. Should it be possible for companies other	access to capital markets sizes – and in particular -19, but will be even more the consultation sets out d.  In accordance with state-of-ssure to provide financial ased funding and for their ray experience a negative
The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID-important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of questions on how ESAP specifically can help ensure that SMEs receive the funding they need SMEs, often do not have the technical expertise nor resources necessary to prepare reports in the-art, sophisticated standards. At the same time, many SMEs are under increasing presinformation as well as certain sustainability related information in order to access market-based usual conduct of business. In this respect, entities which cannot provide this information mimpact on their commercial and/or investment opportunities.  Question 17. Should it be possible for companies other securities listed on EU regulated markets to disclose information.	access to capital markets sizes – and in particular -19, but will be even more the consultation sets out d.  In accordance with state-of-ssure to provide financial ased funding and for their ray experience a negative
Capital markets (non-listed entities), including S  The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of questions on how ESAP specifically can help ensure that SMEs receive the funding they need SMEs, often do not have the technical expertise nor resources necessary to prepare reports in the-art, sophisticated standards. At the same time, many SMEs are under increasing presinformation as well as certain sustainability related information in order to access market-based usual conduct of business. In this respect, entities which cannot provide this information mimpact on their commercial and/or investment opportunities.  Question 17. Should it be possible for companies other securities listed on EU regulated markets to disclose information a voluntary basis?	access to capital markets sizes – and in particular -19, but will be even more the consultation sets out d.  In accordance with state-of-ssure to provide financial ased funding and for their ray experience a negative
Capital markets (non-listed entities), including S  The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID-important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of questions on how ESAP specifically can help ensure that SMEs receive the funding they need SMEs, often do not have the technical expertise nor resources necessary to prepare reports in the-art, sophisticated standards. At the same time, many SMEs are under increasing presinformation as well as certain sustainability related information in order to access market-based conduct of business. In this respect, entities which cannot provide this information mimpact on their commercial and/or investment opportunities.  Question 17. Should it be possible for companies other securities listed on EU regulated markets to disclose information a voluntary basis?  Yes	access to capital markets sizes – and in particular -19, but will be even more the consultation sets out d.  In accordance with state-of-ssure to provide financial ased funding and for their ray experience a negative

### Question 18. What type of information should be disclosed on a voluntary basis in the ESAP?

Please select as many answers as you like
<ul> <li>A set of predefined key financial information, allowing to compare data</li> <li>Any financial information that the issuer would be willing to render public via ESAP</li> <li>A set of predefined key sustainable related information, allowing to compare the data</li> <li>Any sustainability related information that the issuer would be willing to render public via ESAP</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
Question 19. As regards frequency of the submission of the voluntary information to ESAP, when should it occur?  Following predefined periodic submission dates  On an ongoing basis as soon as available  Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Question 20. In which language should entities with no access to capita markets be able to encode the voluntary information?  National language A language that is customary in the sphere of international finance Any language Other
Question 21. Should filings done on a voluntary basis by SMEs and nor listed companies follow all the rules of the ESAP as regards for instance identification, data structuring and formats, quality checks, etc.?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your answer to question 21:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

#### **Costs and benefits**

The Commission anticipates that ESAP will lead to multiple benefits. It can, however, also, imply additional costs for

- i. preparers, in terms of compliance requirements on machine-readability, standards, as well as training of staff, etc.
- ii. users, in terms of search, collection and processing of the information they need
- iii. the development of the ESAP architecture. In some areas ESAP should also lead to cost savings, notably related to fil

### Question 22. Do you expect that costs of introducing ESAP be proportionate to its overall benefits?

- Not at all
- To some extent
- To a reasonable extent
- To a very great extent
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

### Question 23. As a user, can you give an estimation of your yearly cost for retrieving and using companies' public information?

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We consider that robust and comprehensive impact assessment should be performed before moving forward. In this regard, the costs and benefits for issuers should be assessed. However, from the issuers' perspective we feel that already now costs of getting company information are not really significant for investors as for example companies provide websites and sophisticated investors' have already now broad access to data providers.

### Question 24. As a user, how large share of these costs do you expect to save through the use of ESAP?

0 10%

<sup>0</sup> 20%

<sup>©</sup> 40%
More than 50%
Other
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify what other proportion of share of these costs you expect to save through the use of ESAP:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
We consider that robust and comprehensive impact assessment should be performed before moving forward. In this regard, the costs and benefits for issuers should be assessed. However, from the issuers' perspective we feel that already now costs of getting company information are not really significant for investors as for example companies provide websites and sophisticated investors' have already now broad access to data providers. Thus, the cost saving effect should not be overestimated.
Question 25. Should the user have access for free to all data in the ESAP (based e.g. on an open data policy approach)? <ul> <li>Yes</li> </ul>
No No
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant  Question 26. Assuming that development and maintenance costs will arise, how do you think the ESAP should be funded?  Please select as many answers as you like
Question 26. Assuming that development and maintenance costs will arise, how do you think the ESAP should be funded?
Question 26. Assuming that development and maintenance costs will arise, how do you think the ESAP should be funded?  Please select as many answers as you like  By EU funds By national funds By users (i.e. usage fees) By preparers (i.e. uploading fee)

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

#### **Additional information**

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.

The maximum file size is 1 MB.

You can upload several files.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

041d7a8d-72ff-4ec4-8152-8d9254e4b495

/Europeanlssuers\_Comments\_on\_Targeted\_Consultation\_on\_ESAP.pdf

#### **Useful links**

More on this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2021-european-single-access-point\_en)

Consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-consultation-document\_en)

Consultation strategy (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-consultation-strategy\_en More on capital markets union (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/growth-and-investment/capital-markets-union\_en)

Specific privacy statement (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-specific-privacy-statement\_en)

More on the Transparency register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do?locale=en)

#### Contact

fisma-esap-project@ec.europa.eu